

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAGHVENDRA SINGH,
Petitioner,
v.
JEFF MACOMBER, et al.,
Respondents.

No. 2:24-cv-00881-TLN-JDP

ORDER

Petitioner Raghvendra Singh (“Petitioner”), a former state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed this application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On January 10, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Petitioner, and which contained notice to Petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 18.) Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations filed January 10, 2025 (ECF No. 18), are
ADOPTED IN FULL;


2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to state a claim, to prosecute,
and to comply with court orders for the reasons set forth in the December 4, 2024 Order;

3. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. §
2253; and

4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case and to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: February 7, 2025



TROY L. NUNLEY
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE